UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,200	10/28/2003	David M. Chess	GB920030050US1	7325
66517 7590 07/24/2008 STEVEN E. BACH, ATTORNEY AT LAW 10 ROBERTS ROAD			EXAMINER	
			HOANG, DANIEL L	
NEWTOWN SQUARE, PA 19073			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/696,200	CHESS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DANIEL L. HOANG	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 M</u>	av 2008					
	action is non-final.					
,	,—					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Without consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 5/17/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hollander US Patent No. 6823460.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,200 Page 3

Art Unit: 2136

# As per claim 1, 8 and 14 Hollander teaches:

(a) determine a data flow or process requested by said call,

A method for detecting malicious software within or attacking a computer system, said method comprising the steps of:

in response to a system call, executing a hook routine at a location of said system call to

[see col. 6, lines 7-11, wherein types of system calls are detected.]

(b) determine another data flow or process for data related to that of said call,

[see col. 6, lines 12-20, wherein the types of system calls include process creation and process termination]

(c) automatically generate a consolidated information flow diagram showing said data flow or process of said call and said other data flow or process, and after steps (a-c),

[see fig. 7, wherein the API flow table is considered analogous to a "consolidated information flow diagram"]

(d) call a routine to perform said data flow or process requested by said call.

[see fig. 10, element 200]

#### As per claim 2, Hollander teaches:

A method as set forth in claim 1, wherein a user monitors said information flow diagram and compares the data flow or process of steps (a) and (b) with a data flow or process expected by said user.

[see col. 2, lines 45-52, "predefined rules"]

## As per claim 3 and 9, Hollander teaches:

A method as set forth in claim 1, wherein said information flow diagram illustrates locations of said data at stages of a processing activity.

[see fig. 3, elements 154-165]

#### As per claim 4 and 10, Hollander teaches:

Application/Control Number: 10/696,200 Page 4

Art Unit: 2136

A method as set forth in claim 1, wherein said system call is selected from the set of: open file, copy file to memory, copy memory to register, mathematical functions, write to file, and network or communication functions.

[see col. 3, lines 64-67 and col. 4, lines 1-10]

## As per claim 5 and 11, Hollander teaches:

A method as set forth in claim 1, wherein said system call is a software interrupt of an operating system.

[see col. 1, lines 65-67 and col. 2, lines 1-3, and rejection of claim 4]

## As per claim 6 and 12, Hollander teaches:

A method as set forth in claim 1, wherein said system call causes a processor to stop its current activity and execute said hook routine.

[see fig. 2, element 56]

# As per claim 7 and 13, Hollander teaches:

A method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said system call is made by malicious software.

[see col. 1, lines 65-67 and col. 2, lines 1-3]

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### CONCLUSION

\*. Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to** (571) 273-8300 **or mailed to**:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulaney Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Application/Control Number: 10/696,200 Page 5

Art Unit: 2136

\*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Daniel L. Hoang/

Examiner, Art Unit 2136

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136